

T-STRUCTURE AND THE YAMABE INVARIANT

CHANYOUNG SUNG

ABSTRACT. The Yamabe invariant is an invariant of a closed smooth manifold, which contains information about possible scalar curvature on it. It is well-known that a product manifold $T^m \times B$ where T^m is the m -dimensional torus, and B is a closed spin manifold of nonzero $\hat{A}$ -genus has zero Yamabe invariant. We generalize it to various T -structured manifolds, for example T^m -bundles over such B whose transition functions take values in $Sp(m, \mathbb{Z})$ (or $Sp(m-1, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \{\pm 1\}$ for odd m).

1. INTRODUCTION TO YAMABE INVARIANT

The Yamabe invariant is an invariant of a smooth closed manifold depending on its smooth topology.

Let M be a smooth closed manifold of dimension n . Given a smooth Riemannian metric g , a conformal class $[g]$ of g is defined as

$$[g] = \{\varphi g \mid \varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ is smooth}\}.$$

The famous Yamabe problem ([13]) states that there exists a metric $\tilde{g}$ in $[g]$ which attains the minimum

$$\inf_{\tilde{g} \in [g]} \frac{\int_M s_{\tilde{g}} dV_{\tilde{g}}}{(\int_M dV_{\tilde{g}})^{\frac{n-2}{n}}},$$

where $s_{\tilde{g}}$ and $dV_{\tilde{g}}$ respectively denote the scalar curvature and the volume element of $\tilde{g}$.

It turns out that when $n \geq 3$, a unit-volume minimizer $\tilde{g}$ in $[g]$ has constant scalar curvature, which is equal to the above minimum value called the Yamabe constant of $[g]$ and denoted by $Y(M, [g])$.

It is known that the Yamabe constant is bounded above by $Y(S^n, [g_0])$ where $[g_0]$ denotes a standard round metric. Thus following a min-max procedure we define the Yamabe invariant

$$Y(M) := \sup_{[g]} Y(M, [g])$$

of M .

The following facts are noteworthy.

- $Y(M) > 0$ iff M admits a metric of positive scalar curvature.
- If M is simply connected and $\dim M \geq 5$, then $Y(M) \geq 0$.
- For $r \in [\frac{n}{2}, \infty]$,

$$|Y(M, [g])| = \inf_{\tilde{g} \in [g]} \left(\int_M |s_{\tilde{g}}|^r d\mu_{\tilde{g}} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} (\text{Vol}_{\tilde{g}})^{\frac{2}{n} - \frac{1}{r}},$$

where the infimum is attained only by the Yamabe minimizers.

- When $Y(M, [g]) \leq 0$,

$$Y(M, [g]) = - \inf_{\tilde{g} \in [g]} \left(\int_M |s_{\tilde{g}}^-|^r d\mu_{\tilde{g}} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} (\text{Vol}_{\tilde{g}})^{\frac{2}{n} - \frac{1}{r}},$$

where $s_{\tilde{g}}^-$ is defined as $\min\{s_{\tilde{g}}, 0\}$.

Key words and phrases. Yamabe invariant, T-structure, torus bundle MS Classification(2000): 53C20, 55R10.

This work was supported by the Korea Research Foundation(KRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MEST). (No. 2009-0074404).

Therefore when $Y(M) \leq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} Y(M) &= -\inf_g \left(\int_M |s_g|^r d\mu_g \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} (\text{Vol}_{\tilde{g}})^{\frac{2}{n} - \frac{1}{r}} \\ &= -\inf_g \left(\int_M |s_g^-|^r d\mu_g \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} (\text{Vol}_{\tilde{g}})^{\frac{2}{n} - \frac{1}{r}}, \end{aligned}$$

so that $Y(M)$ measures how much negative scalar curvature is inevitable.

- As an application of the above formula, if M has an F -structure which will be explained in a later section, M admits a sequence of metrics with volume form converging to zero while the sectional curvature are bounded below, so that $Y(M) \geq 0$. (See [14].)

2. COMPUTATION OF YAMABE INVARIANT

We now discuss how to compute the Yamabe invariant. When M is a closed oriented surface, by the Gauss-Bonnet theorem

$$Y(M) = 4\pi\chi(M),$$

where $\chi(M)$ is the Euler characteristic of M .

When M is a closed oriented 3-manifold, the Ricci flow gave many answers in case $Y(M) \leq 0$ by the proof of geometrization theorem due to G. Perelman. (See [1]). For example, $Y(\mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma)$ is realized by the hyperbolic metric.

When $\dim M = 4$, the Seiberg-Witten theory enables us to compute the Yamabe invariant of Kähler surfaces through the Weitzenböck formula. LeBrun [10, 11, 12] has shown that if M is a compact Kähler surface whose Kodaira dimension is not equal to $-\infty$, then

$$Y(M) = -4\sqrt{2}\pi\sqrt{2\chi(\tilde{M}) + 3\tau(\tilde{M})},$$

where τ denotes the signature and $\tilde{M}$ is the minimal model of M , and for $\mathbb{C}P^2$,

$$Y(\mathbb{C}P^2) = 4\sqrt{2}\pi\sqrt{2\chi(\mathbb{C}P^2) + 3\tau(\mathbb{C}P^2)}.$$

In higher dimensions, few examples have been computed so far, such as

$$Y(S^1 \times S^{n-1}) = Y(S^n) = n(n-1)(\text{vol}(S^n(1)))^{\frac{2}{n}},$$

where $S^n(1)$ is the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, and

$$Y(T^n) = Y(T^n \times H) = Y(T^n \times B) = 0,$$

where H is a closed Hadarmard-Cartan manifold, i.e. one with a metric of nonpositive sectional curvature, and B is a closed spin manifold with nonzero $\hat{A}$ -genus. These T^n -bundles have such property, because they admit a T-structure and never admit a metric of positive scalar curvature by Gromov-Lawson's enlargeability method [5, 9].

We call a closed n -manifold M *enlargeable* if the following holds: for any $\epsilon > 0$ and any Riemannian metric g on M , there exists a Riemannian spin covering manifold $\tilde{M}$ of (M, g) and an ϵ -contracting map $f : \tilde{M} \rightarrow S^n(1)$, which is constant outside a compact subset of $\tilde{M}$ and of nonzero $\hat{A}$ -degree defined as $\hat{A}(f^{-1}(\text{any regular value of } f))$.

Here a smooth map F is called ϵ -contracting if the norm of DF is less than ϵ . By using the Weitzenböck formula for an appropriate twisted Dirac operator, they showed that such manifolds never admit a metric of positive scalar curvature.

They also generalized this to so-called weakly-enlargeable manifolds, where “ ϵ -contracting” is replaced by “ ϵ -contracting on 2-forms” meaning that the induced map of DF on tangent bi-vectors, i.e. a section of $\Lambda^2(TM)$ has norm less than ϵ .

$\hat{A}$ -genus of a closed spin manifold M is the integral over M of $\hat{A}(TM) := 1 - \frac{p_1}{24} + \frac{-4p_2 + 7p_1^2}{5760} + \dots$, where $p_i \in H^{4i}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ is the i -th pontryagin class of TM . An important fact is that a closed spin manifold with a metric of positive scalar curvature has zero $\hat{A}$ -genus.

Then a natural question for us to explore is

Question 2.1. *Let M be a T^m -bundle over a closed spin manifold B with nonzero $\hat{A}$ -genus. Is $Y(M)$ equal to zero ?*

3. T-STRUCTURE

An F -structure which was introduced by Cheeger and Gromov [3, 4] generalizes an effective T^m -action for $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 3.1. *An F -structure on a smooth manifold is given by data $(U_i, \hat{U}_i, T^{k_i})$ with the following conditions:*

- (1) $\{U_i\}$ is a locally finite open cover.
- (2) $\pi_i : \hat{U}_i \rightarrow U_i$ is a finite Galois covering with covering group Γ_i .
- (3) A torus T^{k_i} of dimension k_i acts effectively on $\hat{U}_i$ in a Γ_i -equivariant way, i.e., Γ_i also acts on T^{k_i} as an automorphism so that

$$\gamma(gx) = \gamma(g)\gamma(x)$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_i$, $g \in T^{k_i}$, and $x \in \hat{U}_i$.

- (4) If $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$, then there is a common covering of $\pi_i^{-1}(U_i \cap U_j)$ and $\pi_j^{-1}(U_i \cap U_j)$ such that it is invariant under the lifted actions of T^{k_i} and T^{k_j} , and they commute.

As a special case, a T -structure is an F -structure in which all the coverings π_i 's are trivial.

Typical examples of T -structure are torus bundles.

Theorem 3.2. *Any S^1 or T^2 -bundle over a smooth manifold has a T -structure.*

Proof. It is well-known that the diffeomorphism group of T^m for $m = 1, 2$ is homotopically equivalent to $T^m \rtimes GL(m, \mathbb{Z})$ where T^m acts by translation. Thus we may assume that the transition functions are $T^m \rtimes GL(m, \mathbb{Z})$ -valued.

Since the transition functions are affine maps at each fiber direction, the local T^m actions along the fiber are commutative on the intersections to give a global T -structure. $\square$

Other typical examples are manifolds with a nontrivial smooth S^1 action. Such examples we will use are projective spaces such as $\mathbb{R}P^n$, $\mathbb{C}P^n$, $\mathbb{H}P^n$, and CaP^2 . (For the case of the Cayley plane which actually has an S^3 -action, see [2].)

Finally, we have to mention an important fact due to Paternain and Petean :

Theorem 3.3. [14] *Suppose X and Y are n -manifolds with $n > 2$, which admit a T -structure. Then $X \# Y$ also admits a T -structure.*

4. MAIN RESULTS

Motivated by Gromov-Lawson's enlargeability technique, we prove :

Theorem 4.1. *Let B be a closed spin manifold of dimension $4d$ with nonzero $\hat{A}$ -genus, and M be an S^1 or T^2 -bundle over B whose transition functions take values in $GL(1, \mathbb{Z})$ or $GL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ respectively. Then*

$$Y(M) = 0.$$

Proof. By the above lemma, M has a T -structure so that $Y(M) \geq 0$.

We only have to show that M never admits a metric of positive scalar curvature. To the contrary, suppose that it admits such a metric h , and we will derive a contradiction. The basic idea is to apply the Bochner-type method to a twisted Spin^c bundle on M whose topological index is nonzero.

First, the case of S^1 bundle can be reduced to the case of T^2 bundle by considering a Riemannian product $M \times S^1$ which also admits a metric of positive scalar curvature. From now on, we consider the case of T^2 bundle.

Secondly, we may also assume that M is orientable, i.e. the transition function for the torus bundle is orientation-preserving. Otherwise, we consider $\bar{M}$ from the lemma below, which also admits a metric of positive scalar curvature by lifting the metric of M .

Lemma 4.2. *There exists a finite covering $\bar{M}$ of M such that $\bar{M}$ is an orientable T^2 -bundle over a closed spin manifold of nonzero $\hat{A}$ -genus.*

Proof. Let $\hat{B}$ be the universal cover of B , and $\hat{M}$ be the manifold obtained by lifting the torus bundle over B to $\hat{B}$. Obviously $\hat{M}$ is orientable, and $M = \hat{M}/\pi_1(B)$. Then there exists a subgroup G of $\pi_1(B)$ with finite index such that $\hat{M}/G$ is orientable, and $\hat{M}/G$ is a T^2 -bundle over $\hat{B}/G$ which is also spin with nonzero $\hat{A}$ -genus, because it is a covering space of B .¹ $\square$

Now let Λ denote a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^2$ so that $T^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\Lambda$. Take an integer $n \gg 1$. There is an obvious covering map from $\mathbb{R}^2/n\Lambda$ onto $\mathbb{R}^2/\Lambda$ of degree n^2 , and we claim that this covering map can be extended to all the fibers in M to give a covering $p : M_n \rightarrow M$. The following lemma justifies this :

Lemma 4.3. *The same transition functions as $\mathbb{R}^2/\Lambda$ -bundle M give $\mathbb{R}^2/n\Lambda$ -bundle M_n with the covering projection p .*

Proof. For a transition map $g_{\alpha\beta} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ downstairs, the same transition map $g_{\alpha\beta}$ upstairs is the unique lifting map which satisfies $p \circ g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta} \circ p$ and sends 0 to 0.

It only needs to be proved that the transition maps satisfy the axioms for the bundle, in particular the axiom $g_{\beta\gamma} \circ g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\gamma}$. This is again due to the uniqueness of the lifting map sending 0 to 0. $\square$

We endow M_n with a metric $h_n := p^*h$.

Lemma 4.4. *There exists a closed 2-form ω on M_n such that $\omega \wedge \omega = 0$ and it restricts to a generator of $H^2(T^2, \mathbb{Z})$ at each fiber T^2 .*

Proof. For each $U \times T^2$ where U is an open ball in B , take ω to be a standard symplectic form of T^2 . Since ω is invariant under $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, it is globally defined on M_n . Obviously $\omega \wedge \omega = 0$ at each point. $\square$

Let E be the complex line bundle on M_n whose first Chern class is $[\omega]$, and E_0 be its restriction to each fiber T^2 . Take a connection A^E of E whose curvature 2-form $R^E = dA^E$ is equal to $-2\pi i\omega$.

We claim that

$$|R^E|_{h_n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Lemma 4.5. $|R^E|_{h_n} = O(\frac{1}{n^2})$.

¹For an explicit construction of G , let $g_1, \dots, g_n$ be generators of $\pi_1(B)$. Since B is orientable, those g_i 's act on $\hat{B}$ preserving the orientation. Let's suppose that $g_1, \dots, g_l$ act on the torus bundle $\hat{M}$ in an orientation-reversing way and $g_{l+1}, \dots, g_n$ in orientation-preserving way. Then one can take G to be the subgroup generated by $g_1^2, \dots, g_l^2, g_{l+1}, \dots, g_n$.

Proof. For a local coordinate $(x_1, \dots, x_{4d}, y_1, y_2)$ of $B \times T^2$, $R^E = dy_1 \wedge dy_2$. We will show that $|dx_i|_{h_n}$ is constant for all n , and $|dy_\mu|_{h_n} = O(\frac{1}{n})$ for all i and μ . First,

$$\begin{aligned} h_n\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right) &= h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right), \\ h_n\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\mu}\right) &= nh\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\mu}\right), \\ h_n\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_\mu}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\nu}\right) &= n^2h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_\mu}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\nu}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all i, j and μ, ν . Thus

$$h_n = \begin{pmatrix} O(1) & O(n) \\ O(n) & O(n^2) \end{pmatrix},$$

where the block division is according to the division by x and y coordinates, and

$$\begin{aligned} (h_n)^{-1} &= \frac{1}{\det(h_n)} \text{adj}(h_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{O(n^4)} \begin{pmatrix} O(n^4) & O(n^3) \\ O(n^3) & O(n^2) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} O(1) & O(\frac{1}{n}) \\ O(\frac{1}{n}) & O(\frac{1}{n^2}) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

which means $|dx_i|_{h_n} = O(1)$ and $|dy_\mu|_{h_n} = O(\frac{1}{n})$ for all i and μ . Now the conclusion follows. $\square$

In order to use the Bochner argument, we need to show that M_n is spin^c . Using the orthogonal decomposition by h_n ,

$$TM_n = V \oplus H = V \oplus \pi^*(TB),$$

where V and H respectively denote the vertical and horizontal space, and $\pi : M_n \rightarrow B$ be the torus bundle projection. Obviously H is spin , because B is spin . Since V is an orientable $\mathbb{R}^2$ -bundle, it can be viewed as a complex line bundle. Thus

$$w_2(M_n) = w_2(V) + w_2(H) \equiv c_1(V) \pmod{2}$$

meaning that M_n is spin^c . Let S be the associated vector bundle to the Spin^c bundle over M_n obtained using $\sqrt{V}$.

Note that V is a flat bundle, because its transition functions take values in $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. We endow it with a flat connection, and consider a twisted spin^c Dirac operator D^E on $S \otimes E$ where E is equipped with a connection A^E . The Weitzenböck formula says that

$$(D^E)^2 = \nabla^* \nabla + \frac{1}{4} s_{h_n} + \mathfrak{R}^E.$$

Here $\mathfrak{R}^E(\sigma \otimes v) = \sum_{i < j} (e_i e_j \sigma) \otimes (R_{e_i, e_j}^E v)$ where $\{e_i\}$ is an orthonormal frame for (M_n, h_n) . Note that

$$|\mathfrak{R}^E|_{h_n} \leq C |R^E|_{h_n}$$

where C is a positive constant depending on the dimension of M . By taking n sufficiently large, we can ensure that $s_{h_n} > |\mathfrak{R}^E|_{h_n}$ everywhere, and hence $\ker D^E = 0$. Thus the index of the operator

$$D_+^E : \Gamma(S_+ \otimes E) \rightarrow \Gamma(S_- \otimes E)$$

is

$$\ker D^E|_{S_+ \otimes E} - \ker D^E|_{S_- \otimes E} = 0,$$

where $S_\pm$ respectively denotes the plus and negative spinor bundle.

On the other hand, we can also compute the index using the Atiyah-Singer index theorem [9]. Noting the fact that V is a flat bundle, and $\omega \wedge \omega = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{index}(D_+^E) &= \{ch(E) \cdot \hat{A}(TM_n)\}[M_n] \\
&= \{(1 + [\omega]) \cdot \hat{A}(V) \cdot \hat{A}(\pi^*(TB))\}[M_n] \\
&= \{(1 + [\omega]) \cdot \pi^*(\hat{A}(B))\}[M_n] \\
&= \{[\omega] \cdot \pi^*(\hat{A}_d(B))\}[M_n] \\
&= \int_{\pi^{-1}(PD[\hat{A}_d(B)])} [\omega] \\
&= (\hat{A}(B)[B]) \int_{\pi^{-1}(pt)} [\omega] \\
&\neq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which yields a contradiction. $\square$

In case of T^m -bundles for $m \geq 3$, we also need transition functions to preserve a symplectic structure of the fiber :

Theorem 4.6. *Let B be a closed spin manifold of dimension $4d$ with nonzero $\hat{A}$ -genus, and M be a T^m -bundle over B whose transition functions take values in $Sp(m, \mathbb{Z})$ (or $Sp(m-1, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \{\pm 1\}$ for odd m). Then*

$$Y(M) = 0.$$

Proof. Since the transition functions are linear, M has a T -structure so that $Y(M) \geq 0$.

To show that M never admits a metric of positive scalar curvature, we may assume that m is even, say $2k$. If m is odd, as before we take $M \times S^1$ and consider its finite cover which is orientable. Then its transition functions take values in $Sp(m-1, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \subset Sp(m+1, \mathbb{Z})$.

Similarly we construct an n^{2k} -fold cover M_n and let ω be the 2-form on M_n which restricts to a standard symplectic 2-form on each fiber. Take the complex line bundle E on M_n with $c_1(E) = [\omega]$, and a connection A^E with $R^E = -2\pi i\omega$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{index}(D_+^E) &= \{ch(E) \cdot \hat{A}(TM_n)\}[M_n] \\
&= \{(1 + [\omega] + \cdots + \frac{1}{k!}[\omega]^k) \cdot \hat{A}(V) \cdot \hat{A}(\pi^*(TB))\}[M_n] \\
&= \{(1 + [\omega] + \cdots + \frac{1}{k!}[\omega]^k) \cdot \pi^*(\hat{A}(B))\}[M_n] \\
&= \{\frac{1}{k!}[\omega]^k \cdot \pi^*(\hat{A}_d(B))\}[M_n] \\
&= \int_{\pi^{-1}(PD[\hat{A}_d(B)])} \frac{1}{k!}[\omega]^k \\
&= (\hat{A}(B)[B]) \int_{\pi^{-1}(pt)} \frac{1}{k!}[\omega]^k \\
&\neq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\omega^{k+1} = 0$. The rest is the same. $\square$

Example 4.7. *In fact, the above theorem holds for any non-orientable T^m -bundle whose orientation-preserving cover as in theorem 4.1 has such a property.*

Let X_i for $i = 1, \dots, l$ be such bundles over B as in theorem 4.1. Then the fiber product $X := X_1 \times_B X_2 \times_B \dots \times_B X_l$ with respect to projection maps $p_i : X_i \rightarrow B$ satisfies $Y(X) = 0$.

Combining our results with the previous results in [16], we can compute more general T -structured manifolds :

Theorem 4.8. *Let M be a T^m -bundle in all the above so that $Y(M) = 0$. If $\dim M = 4n$, then*

$$Y(M \sharp k \mathbb{H}P^n \sharp l \overline{\mathbb{H}P^n}) = 0,$$

and if $\dim M = 16$, then

$$Y(M \sharp k \mathbb{H}P^4 \sharp l \overline{\mathbb{H}P^4} \sharp k' CaP^2 \sharp l' \overline{CaP^2}) = 0,$$

where k, l, k' , and l' are nonnegative integers, and the overline denotes the reversed orientation.

Finally we remark that theorem 4.1 can be extended to the case when $\dim B \leq 2$ by applying the Seiberg-Witten theory :

Theorem 4.9. *Let B be a circle or a closed oriented surface, and X be an S^1 or T^2 -bundle over B . Suppose that X or $X \times T^m$ for $m = 1, 2$ has a finite cover M with $b_2^+(M) > 1$ which is a T^2 -bundle over a surface whose transition functions take values in a discrete subgroup of $T^2 \rtimes SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Then*

$$Y(X) = 0.$$

Proof. It suffices to show that M never admits a metric of positive scalar curvature.

Using the 2-form ω on M which restricts to a standard symplectic form on each fiber, we have a symplectic form $\pi^*\sigma + \omega$ on M , where σ is a symplectic form of B , and $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ is the bundle projection.

Then the Seiberg-Witten invariant of the canonical Spin^c structure of M is 1 so that it never admits a metric of positive scalar curvature. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] M. T. Anderson, *Canonical metrics on 3-manifolds and 4-manifolds*, Asian J. Math. **10** (2006), 127–163.
- [2] M. Atiyah and J. Berndt, *Projective planes, Severi varieties and spheres*, Surv. Differ. Geom. **Vol. VIII** (2003), 1–27.
- [3] J. Cheeger and M. Gromov, *Collapsing Riemannian manifolds while keeping their curvature bounded I*, J. Diff. Geom. **23** (1986), 309–346.
- [4] J. Cheeger and M. Gromov, *Collapsing Riemannian manifolds while keeping their curvature bounded II*, J. Diff. Geom. **32** (1990), 269–298.
- [5] M. Gromov and H. B. Lawson, *Positive scalar curvature and the Dirac operator on complete riemannian manifolds*, Publ. Math. IHES, **58** (1983), 83–196.
- [6] M.J. Gursky and C. LeBrun, *Yamabe constants and spin^c structures*, GAFA **8** (1998), 965–977.
- [7] M. Ishida and C. LeBrun, *Curvature, connected sums, and Seiberg-Witten theory*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **11** (2003), 809–836.
- [8] O. Kobayashi, *Scalar curvature of a metric with unit volume*, Math. Ann. **279** (1987), 253–265.
- [9] H. B. Lawson and M. L. Michelson, *Spin Geometry*, Princeton University Press (1989).
- [10] C. LeBrun, *Four manifolds without Einstein metrics*, Math. Res. Lett. **3** (1996), 133–147.
- [11] C. LeBrun, *Yamabe constants and the perturbed Seiberg-Witten equations*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **5** (1997), 535–553.
- [12] C. LeBrun, *Kodaira dimension and the Yamabe problem*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **7** (1999), 133–156.
- [13] J. Lee and T. Parker, *The Yamabe Problem*, Bull. Amer. Soc. **17** (1987), 37–81.
- [14] G. P. Paternain and J. Petean, *Minimal entropy and collapsing with curvature bounded from below*, Invent. Math. **151** (2003), 415–450.
- [15] J. Petean and G. Yun, *Surgery and the Yamabe invariant*, GAFA **9** (1999), 1189–1199.
- [16] C. Sung, *Connected sums with $\mathbb{H}P^n$ or CaP^2 and the Yamabe invariant*, arXiv:0710.2379.
- [17] C. Sung, *Surgery and equivariant Yamabe invariant*, Diff. Geom. Appl. **24** (2006), 271–287.
- [18] C. Sung, *Surgery, Yamabe invariant, and Seiberg-Witten theory*, J. Geom. Phys. **59** (2009), 246–255.

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, 628 DAEDUK-BOULEVARD, YUSEONG-GU, DAEJEON, KOREA
E-mail address: `cysung@nims.re.kr`